



Enterprise Approach- Hopper Dredging PDT

USACE/ Industry Working Group Brief Out

Laura Harrell
National Contracting Organization
May 20, 2009

BUILDING STRONG



Challenges

USACE

- Districts competing for resources
- Environmental window constraints
- CRA/funding restrictions & loss of Continuing Contracts Clause adversely impacting schedules
- Increased workload impacting already strained resources

Industry

- Lack of consistency amongst districts
- Structuring of options impacting competition
- Lack of upfront funding compresses performance periods
- Lack of standard specs on maintenance requirements





Key Points from Industry

- Open by Amendment working in New Orleans
- MATOC approach working well in Chicago
- Base plus options working well in Alaska
- Each division has its own unique characteristics
- Hydrographic surveys costing Govt more because not being done timely
- Plans and specs taking too long
- Want to be able to know competitors bid and Government estimate
- Lengthy evaluations on negotiated procurements ties up resources and impacts future project competition
- One comprehensive performance period versus current segmented approach

ONE SIZE DOES NOT NECESSARILY FIT ALL!



Establish PDT

PDT Composition

Hopper Dredge Contractors
Operations Personnel
Contracting Personnel

Engineering Rep
Planning Rep
Project Mgt Rep
Legal Rep
Construction Rep

PDT 12-15 members with ad hoc membership as required





Way Forward

In-Process Reviews provided to DCA & HQUSACE on recurring Basis NLT 15 days after each session

Results/Recommendations from each PDT working group session will be staffed for concurrence and immediate implementation

